2016 CDBG RATING AND RANKING

	Date of Review
Town of	•
Project	
Reviewer	
Project Need	0
Capacity	0
Construction /Environmental	0
Fair Housing	0
Total Score	0
Project Need Capacity	30 25
Construction/Environment Fair Housing	25 20

100

Total Score all categories

Project Need /Impact - 30 Points

Number of housing units, jobs, or busines	sses to be assisted		
For each of the evaluation areas below, a criterion that yields the highest number of		only for the	
1.2 - Bonus Points			
If project is a housing development project	et	2.5	
If housing project is in a very high or high	opportunity area	2	
If project is in the state-sponsored housing	g portfolio	3	
1.5c - Income Levels Served			
a) Area Benefit	<80% LMI	3	
b) Direct Benefit Housing	<80% LMI	5	
c) Limited Clientele	<80% LMI	3	
d) Direct Benefit Jobs	<80% LMI	2	
Permanent/temporary relocation is require Permanent relocation is required, and the Temporary relocation with a plan		-10 -5 0	
There is no relocation required		2	
2.1 - Source Documents			
Waiting list updated within the last 6 months, on form pro		8	
Waiting list updated within the last 6 months, on form pro	AND THE CONTROL OF TH	6	
Waiting list updated within the last 6 months, on form pro		4	
Waiting list not updated within the last 6 months and/or n		-8 7	
Pictures & reports of severe deterioration of infrastructur		8	
Project consistent with Capital Needs Assessment or inc		-8	
5.1b - Impact Town meets the goals listed in its current			
Yes, and there is a copy of the section of the approved Plan release.		osed 2	

5.1d - Community Revitaliza	tion Strategy (CRS)	1
Town has a CRS designation,	and this application is in support of the CR	S
5.2 - Letters of Support	0.1 point/per letter up to 0.5 points total	0.5
Derby, East Haven, East Wine Killingly, Mansfield, Montville,	cores: ls, Bloomfield, Brooklyn, Chaplin, dsor, Enfield, Griswold, Groton, Naugatuck, Plainfield, Plainville, Plymouth, Stafford, Sterling, Thomaston, Torrington, \	
Total S	core for Project Need	0

Capacity - 25 Points

3.1 - Sta	ff Capacity	I.	
program program	rienced Town Staff with at least one person with responsibility for administration having 3 or more years experience with CDBG	3	
- E0	staff with more than 12 months but less than 3 years experience 3G program	1	
program	ultant staff member directly responsible for advising applicant on administration for the duration of the program with 5 or more years ce with CDBG program	1	
	mber of Non-SC Projects Completed On Time & Within Budget	[
a)	1 - 5	0.5	
b)	6 and more	1.5	
In the 4 y	repleted Small Cities CDBG Grants years prior to 2015 (i.e., 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 awards), the need within the original budget period.	umber of gr	rants
a)	None	-1	
b) c)	1-2	1	
c)	3 or more	2.5	
d)	Never received an award	0	
	mber of Small Cities grants currently open]	
a) b) c)	1 or none	2.5	
b)	2	1	
c)	3	-1	
3.4 - Sul	precipient Agreement]	
A subrec	sipient agreement is required and was submitted with the		
application		1	
	ecipient agreement is required	1	
A subrec	sipient agreement is required but was not submitted	-1	

3.5/3.6 -	Compliance		
or repea	the applicant or subrecipient entity named in any DOH monitoring fited concern related to housing, economic development, community ment, fair housing, EEOC, etc., or is any such audit finding or conce or foreseeable?		
a)	Yes	-2]
b)	No	2]
3.6 - Lit	igation		
Is either	the applicant or subrecipient entity named in any litigation related to)	
housing	, economic development, community development, fair housing, EE	OC, etc.,	
is any s	uch litigation pending or foreseeable, or has there been an adverse		
decision	in the last 4 years?	T ==	7
a)	Yes	-2	-
b)	No	2	_
3.6 - Cit	izen Complaints		
Is either	the applicant or subrecipient entity named in any citizen complaint r	elated	
to housi	ng, economic development, community development, fair housing, E	EEOC,	
etc., or i	s any such citizen complaint pending or foreseeable?		
a)	Yes	-2	7
b)	No	2]
	turned Funds applicant returned Small Cities funds to DOH in the last 3 years?		
		T :	7
a)	Yes	-2	4
b)	Yes, but reason was justifiable or pertained to a prior	0	
	management team	0	-
c)	No	2	

a)			-
၁)	At least 5%	0.5]
))	At least 10%	1]
c)	At least 20 %	2	
d)	At least 30%	3	
e)	At least 40%	3.5]
a)	Firm	2]
o)	Conditional	1	1
c)	Pending/No Commitment	0	1
4.2 - P	Program Income on hand as of March 31, 2016		
2)	\$0 - \$25,000	1]
a) b)	\$0 - \$25,000 \$25,001 - \$50,000	1 -1]

4.4 Site & Bldg Report	Y(10)N(0)
Completeness of Site & Bldg Report	G(5)F(3)P (0)O(0)
SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 2 PROPERTY INFORMAT	rion
Location Map	Y(5)N(0)
Street Map	Y(5)N(0)
Exist Zon'g Info (for additions only)	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 3 PROPERTY/SITE ASSE I. Site Conditions	ESSMENT
FEMA FIRM Map	Y(15)N(0)
Is the project in a Flood Plain?	Y 500Y 100Y FWayN
	Yes (-10)No (0)No (0)
II. Unusual Site Conditions	Y(0)N(5)
Utilities Expansion	Y(-5)N(0)
III. Environmental Site Conditions	0 (10)1-2 (5)3≥(0)
Phase I submitted	Y(10)N(0)
Ph II submitted Per Ph I	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
IV. Environmental Bldg. ConditionsNA (10)	0(10)1-2 (5)3 ≥ (0)
HazMats Rprts submt'd	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
Closure Reports	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
Notification Materials Submitted to DOH?	Y(0)N(-5)NA(0)
SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 4 BUILDING INFORMAT	TON
	ION
SHPO notification letter	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
SHPO notification letter SHPO response letter	
	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
SHPO response letter	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)
SHPO response letter SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 5 BLDG ASSESSMENT	Y(5)N(0)NA(5) Y(5)Pend(3)NA(5)
SHPO response letter SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 5 BLDG ASSESSMENT Interior Photos	Y(5)N(0)NA(5) Y(5)Pend(3)NA(5) Y(0)N(5)NA(5) Y(0)N(5)NA(5)
SHPO response letter SITE & BUILDING REPORT SEC. 5 BLDG ASSESSMENT Interior Photos Exterior Photos	Y(5)N(0)NA(5) Y(5)Pend(3)NA(5) Y(0)N(5)NA(5) Y(0)N(5)NA(5)

Standard Project SHEET 1 SCORE:

0

4.4.B. COORDINATION/APP	ROVALS/CLEARANCES		
I. # of Non-Zoning Approvals/Clear	ances Needed0 (5)1 (3)≥2 (0)		
II. Non Zoning Approval/Clearance	Supporting DocumentsY (5)N(0)NA(5)		
4.5 CONSTRUCTION A. Drawings Completion Level	N(0)S(5)D (7)F(10)		
B. Specifications Completion Level	N(0)O(5)D(7)F(10)		
F. DOH Cost Estimate	Y (5)No(0)Y Non DOH(0)		
 Cost Estimate Comple Cost Reasonableness 	eteness $G(5)$ $F(3)$ $P(0)$ $Good$ Fair $F(3)$ $P(0)$ $Good$ $F(0)$ F		
G. Construction Procurement Plan	N(0)		
H. Drawings & Spec's Compliance C	CertificationY(0)N(-25)NA(0)		
J. Project Development Budget	Y(10)N(0)		
1. Budget Completeness	Good G(5) = F(3) = P(0) Good Poor		
4.5 II CONSTRUCTION TIME C. Completeness of Dwgs/Specs	E LINES0(10)1-3m(7)3-6m(5)>6m(0)not Submt'd(-10)		
D. Length of Construction Period	≤6m(10)6-9m(7)9-12m(5)12-15m(0)>15m(-10)		
E. Length of Time to Obtain Permits/Approvals/Clearances	0(10)1-3m(7)3-6m(5)>6m(-10)		
If 4.5 II C+D+E IS 18 MOS OF MORE	or if Flood Zone, Proj is Not Ready to PROCEED!		
4.6 SUSTAINABLE FEATUR I. SUSTAINABLE/GREEN a. Energy Star Products	ES & DESIGN Fill out I OR II0(0)3/4(5)5/6(7)≥7(10)NA(10)		
Inc'd in Spec's	0(0)1/2(2)3/4(3)≥5(5)NA(5)		
b. Alternative Energy Sources	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)		
c. Water Conservation measures	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)		
d. Storm Water Management	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)		
e. Other sustainable/green	Y(5)N(0)		
	Y(35)N(0)		
II. PROOF OF LEED			
	STANDARD PROJECT SHEET 2 SCORE: 130 Max Points	0	
	STANDARD PROJECT SHEET 1 SCORE: 120 Max Points	0	
	STANDARD PROJECT TOTAL: 250 max Points	0.1	0

COMMENTS:

Version 2016 1 of 1

4.7A. Procurement Process	Y(10)N(0)	
Town's Procurement Policy	N(0)	
4.7B. Building/Site Evaluation Process	Y(10)N(0)	
Initial Inspection Form	Y(10)N(0)	
4.7C-1. Hazardous Material Notification Process	Y(10)N(0)	
HazMat Notification Letter	N(0)	
4.7D. Construction Monitoring Process	Y(10)N(0)	
Progress Insp Form	Y(10)N(0)	
4.7E. Approvals/Permitting Process	Y(10)N(0)	
4.7F. Typical Project Schedule	Y(10)N(0)	
	${Good}G(10) = F(5) = P(0)$	
4.7G. 75% Rule/Walk Away Compliance	Y(20)N(0)	
4.7H Rehab Stand/Lead/Asbestos Compliance	Y(20)N(0)	
4.7J Program Development Budget	N(0)	
Budget Completeness	${Good}G(10)$ ${Fair}F(5)$ ${Poor}P(0)$	
Cost Estimating Form	N(0)	
4.7K Pre-Construction Meeting Form	Y(15)N(0)	
4.7L Municipal Walk Away Policy	N(0)	
4.7M Energy Star/Sustainable/Green/Eco-Friendly Product	ts, Recycling/Salvage	
1. Energy Star0(0) _	3/4(5)5/6(10)≥7(15)NA(15)	
2. Sustainable/Green	0(0)1(5)2+(10)	
3. Recycling/Salvage	0(0)1(5)2+(10)	
	PROGRAM TOTAL POINTS 0.	1 0 0 250 max pts

4.4.A	IN	FRAS	TRU	JCTU	JRE
-------	----	------	-----	------	-----

1. Environmental Remediation Needed	Y(0)N(5)	
2. Adjacent to environmental risk?	Y(0)N(5)	
3. Age of property/work item(s)	<5yr(0)5-10(5)10+(10)NA(10)	
4. Last Repairs/work	<5yr(0)5-10(5)10+(10)NA(10)	
5. Unusual Site Conditions	Y(0)N(10)NA(10)	
Supporting Data	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)	
6. FEMA FIRM	Y(15)N(0)	
Any proposed work in a Flood Plain?	Y500Y100YFWAYN	
Application/evaluation process begun?	Y(0)N(-10)NA(0)	
7. Pictures	Y(10)N(0)	
8. Utilities expansion	Y(0)N(15)NA(15)	
4.4.B APPROVALS/PERMIT COORDINATION		
I. # of Approvals/Permits Needed	0(15)1(10)2(5)≥3(0)	
II. Supporting Documentation	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)	
4.5 CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS: A. Drawing Completion Level	N(0)S(5)D(10)F(15) None Schematic Develop Final	
B. Specification Completion Level	N(0)O(5)D(10)F(15) None Outline Develop Final	
F. Cost Estimate	Y (10)*N (0)Y Non DOH(0)	
1. Cost Estimate Completeness	*go to G G(10)F(5)P (0)	
2. Cost Reasonableness	Good Fair PoorG(10)H or L(5)	
G. Construction Procurement	Good High or LowY(10)N(0)	
H. Dwgs & Spec's Compliance Certification	Y(0)N(-25)NA(0)	
J. Project Development Budget	Y(10)N(0)	
Budget Completeness	G(10)F(5)P (0)	
4.5 II CONSTRUCTION TIME LINES C. Completion of Dwgs and Specs0(15)1-3m(10)_	3-6m(5)>6m(0)not Submt'd(-10)	
D. Length of Construction Period≤6m(15)6-9m(10))9-12m(5)12-15m(0)>15m(-10)	
E. Length of Time to Obtain Permits/0m(15) Approvals/Clearances 4.6 SUSTAINABLE FEATURES & DESIGN	1-3(10)3-6(5)>6(-10)	
d. Storm Water Management:	Y(5)N(0)NA(5)	
e. Other Sustainable/Green Features	Y(5)N(0)	
f 4.5 C+D+E is 18 Mos or More or Flood Zone, Proj is N	ot Ready to PROCEED!	
INFRASTRUCTURE TOTAL POINT	rs: 250 Possible Points 0.1	0

Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity - 20 Points

Score All Applicat

Total Possible Points = 5

6.1 Was the FHAP complete? Award points based on the following:

Response	Points
Incomplete or requires significant revision	0
Complete but needs minor revision	1
Complete	3

6.2 Was a Section 3 Plan submitted? Award points based on the following:

Response	Points
Not submitted	-2
Incomplete or requires significant revision	0
Complete but needs minor revision	1
Complete	2

Score Past Grantees Only:

Total Possible Points = 15

6.3 Identify Fair Housing Action Steps completed or in progress within the last three years. Award points based on the # of steps or actions completed or in progress and back-up documentation including milestones for those items in progress. See Fair Housing Plan Schedule.

Actions Steps	s Set Number	# of Steps	Points Awarded
None	None		-2
Set #1	Steps 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, or 12		2 Points Each
Set #2	Steps 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14,	or 15	1 Point Each
	Maximum # of Steps 4	Maximum Score is 8 P	ts.

6.4 Enter the number of awards documented by the applicant to Section 3 residents or contractors over the past 3 years. Award points based on number of contracts awarded.

Response		Points
	0	0
	1-3	1.5
	>3	3

6.5 Enter the number of documented good faith efforts made by the applicant to reach Section 3 residents or contractors over the past 3 years.

Response		Points
	3	0.5
	4	1

6.6 Document the number of contractor and subcontractor awards made to certified small and minority firms and women's business enterprises over the past three years.

Award points based on the number of contracts awarded & contracts/certifications.

Response		Points
	0	0
	2	1
	3	2
	4 or more	3

Score New Applicants Only:

Total Possible Points = 15

6.7 Is the applicant a new grantee? A new grantee is defined as an applicant that has not received a Small Cities grant within the past 3 consecutive years.

Award points based on if answer to question is yes or no.

Response		Points
	No	0
	Yes	1

6.8 Identify projects, initiatives, and/or actions that the municipality has taken or are in progress in the past 3 years to promote the principles of Fair Housing. Award points based on the # of actions completed and documentation of such actions. See Past Fair Housing Initiatives Schedule.

Actions Steps	S Set Number	# of Steps	Points Awarded
None	None		-2
Set #1	Steps 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, or 12		2 Points Each
Set #2 Steps 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, or			1 Point Each
	Maximum # of Steps 4 Maximum	mum Score is 8 P	ts.

6.9-1 Was an ADA Notice submitted? A	ward points	s based on the following:
Response	Points	
Not submitted or incomplete	0	
Complete but needs minor revisions	0.3	
Complete	0.5	
6.9-2 Was an ADA Grievance Procedure		Award points based on the following:
Response	Points	
Not submitted or incomplete	0	4
Complete but needs minor revisions	0.3	4
Complete	0.5	
within the past 3 years? If submitted, average Response Points No	ward points	Section 504/ADA Self Evaluation for all of its facilities based on the following:
Needs Revisions 0. Met Requirements	<u>5</u> 1	
	ars? If sub □	mitted, award points based on the following:
	1	
Needs Revisions	0	
Met Requirements	1	
imet iveduitements	1	
programs within the past 3 years. If sub Response Points	10 m	Section 504/ADA Transition Plan for its facilities and its ard points based on the following:
Total Sco	ore for Fail	Housing: 0